1) (POINTS 13/40) Consider the following snippet of code running on a dual-dispatch processor using Tomasulo's algorithm to perform the dynamic scheduling of instructions. The program performs the operation $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{aX} / \mathrm{Y}$ on a vector of 100 elements. Initially, $\mathrm{R} 1=0$ and F 0 contains the value of the constant ' $a$ '.

| lab: | L.D | F2, $0($ R1 $)$ | ; read Xi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | MUL.D | F4, F2, F0 | ; multiply a*Xi |
|  | L.D | F6, 400(R1) | ; load Yi |
|  | DIV.D | F6, F4, F6 | ; a*Xi/Yi |
|  | S.D | F6, 400(R1) | ; store Yi |
|  | ADDI | R1, R1, 8 | ; update R1 |
|  | SGTI | R3, R1, 800 | ; R1 >=? 800, result in R3 |
|  | BEQ | R3, R0, lab | ; continue to loop if false |

Working hypothesis:

- speculative execution is permitted and branches are predicted taken; high-performance fetch breaks after a branch
- the issue stage (I) calculates the address of the actual reads and writes;
- reads require 1 clock cycle; writes take 0 cycles (they are written in a write-buffer + split-cache)
- when accessing memory $(\mathrm{M})$, writes have precedence over reads and must be executed in-order
- there's only one CDB
- dispatch stage ( P ) and complete stage $(\mathrm{W})$ require 1 clock cycle
- there are separated integer units for the calculation of the actual address, for arithmetic and logical operations, for the evaluation of the branch condition
- the functional units do not take advantage of pipelining techniques internally (reservation stations are busy until the end of CDB-write, except for Stores)
- the load queue has 5 slots; the store queue has 5 slots (writes wait for the operand in the queue buffer, i.e., in the execution stage)
- the rest of the processor and has the following characteristics

| Type of Functional Unit | No. of Functional Units | Cycles for stage I | No. of reservation stations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Integer (effective addr.) | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Integer (op. A-L) | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Integer (branch calc.) | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| FP Adder | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| FP Multiplier | 1 | 8 | 3 |
| FP Divider | 1 | 15 | 2 |

Complete the following chart until the end of the third iteration of the code fragment above.

| Iter. | Instruction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P: Dispatch } \\ & \text { (start-stop) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IIX: } \\ & \text { Insure Exec } \\ & \text { (start-stop) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M: MEM } \\ & \text { ACCESS } \\ & \text { (clock) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | W: CDB-write <br> (Complete) <br> (clock) | C: Commit (clock) | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | L.D F2,0 (R1) | 1-4 | 2-2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 1 | MUL.D F4, F2,F0 | 1-13 | 5-12 |  | 13 | 14 |  |
| 1 | L.D F6,400 (R1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2) (POINTS 17/40) The test-and-set method is the simplest synchronization mechanism and it is available in the large majority of commercial shared-memory machines. Such mechanism is based on the atomic exchange operation exch that consists in loading the old value at a given address and store into the same address a new value. The "lock" mechanism is in turn implemented upon such atomic operation by spinning on a specific memory address until the lock is open (the returned value is a zero, meaning "unlocked", instead of a one meaning "locked"). The following code represent a possible implementation:


Assuming that the processors acquire the lock in the order $\mathrm{P} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{P} 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{P} 15$ and given the initial situation of caches and memory represented in the above figure, , calculate: a) how many bus transactions are there; b) how many memory stall cycles for each of the processors are necessary before acquiring the lock.
3) (POINTS 10/40) Calculate the PARALLELISM, by using WORK e SPAN, for the following Cilk implementation of the recursive Fibonacci code in case of $\mathrm{n}=5$.

```
int fib(int n)
i
    if (n < 2) return;
    int x, y;
    x = cilk_spawn fib(n-1);
    y=fib(n-2);
    cilk_sync;
```

